



# AN323 用加速溶剂萃取（ASE）技术提取环境样品中的多氯二苯二噁英和多氯二苯呋喃

**PCDDs:** 多氯二苯二噁英

**OCPs:** 有机氯农药

**PCDFs:** 多氯二苯呋喃

**OPPs:** 有机磷农药

**样品基体:** 环境样品（烟囱砖灰，城市尘埃，飞尘和沉积物）

**仪器:** Dionex ASE200

GC/MS

低压 LC（样品清洗）

**溶剂:** 甲苯（农药级），乙酸（试剂级），HCl（试剂级）

## 推荐的萃取条件

压力: 13.8Mpa (2000psi)

温度: 175–200°C

静态时间: 5–15min

溶剂: 甲苯，或甲苯/乙酸 (5%，V/V) (如果用 HCl 作前处理)

冲洗体积: 60–70%

N<sub>2</sub>吹扫时间: 60–100 秒

静态循环次数: 2 或 3 次

## 分析结果:

**Table 2 Average Values (ng/kg) from Ground Chimney Brick—Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE**

Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE* (n=2)
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDD	440	530
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDD	900	940
Total H <sub>4</sub> CDD	1800	2000
Total H <sub>5</sub> CDD	2000	2100
Total O <sub>4</sub> CDD	2900	2600
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDF	2300	2600
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDF	4100	4300
Total H <sub>4</sub> CDF	4700	4700
Total H <sub>5</sub> CDF	2800	2600
Total O <sub>4</sub> CDF	2000	2000
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE* (n=2)
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDD	6.0	6.0
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDD	52	57
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>4</sub> CDD	46	52
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>4</sub> CDD	120	130
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>5</sub> CDD	97	1000
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDD	1000	820
2,3,7-B-T <sub>4</sub> CDF	160	180
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	430	470
2,3,4,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	390	390
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	1100	1100
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	540	570
2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	400	360
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	42	42
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	2100	2000
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	140	120
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	540	540
Toxicity equivalent (Bq/V)	490	510

Values are corrected for recovery of <sup>14</sup>C-labeled surrogates.

\*Sum of two extractions of each sample.

**Table 3 Average Values (ng/kg) from Urban Dust—Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE**

Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE* (n=2)
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDD	182	325
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDD	175	221
Total H <sub>4</sub> CDD	86.7	81.7
Total H <sub>5</sub> CDD	221	217
Total O <sub>4</sub> CDD	445	314
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDF	333	419
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDF	146	179
Total H <sub>4</sub> CDF	65.9	122
Total H <sub>5</sub> CDF	13.2	29.4
Total O <sub>4</sub> CDF	n.d. (10)	n.d. (10)
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE* (n=2)
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDD	3.3	3.2
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDD	11.8	13.1
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>4</sub> CDD	9.8	8.0
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>4</sub> CDD	11.5	9.5
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>5</sub> CDD	n.d. (8)	n.d. (8)
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDD	113	107
2,3,7-B-T <sub>4</sub> CDF	12.5	18.6
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	9.9	12.0
2,3,4,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	13.9	18.1
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	18.7	23.7
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	10.7	15.8
2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	3.3	8.7
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	n.d. (2)	n.d. (2)
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	13.2	29.4
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H <sub>5</sub> CDF	n.d. (3)	n.d. (3)
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	25.0	28.7
Toxicity equivalent (Bq/V)	24.2	29.2

Values are corrected for recovery of <sup>14</sup>C-labeled surrogates.

n.d.=not detected. Detection limit, in ppt, given in parentheses.

\*Sum of two extractions of each sample.



**Table 4 Average Values ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ) from Fly Ash<sup>a</sup>  
Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE (HCl Pretreatment)**

Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE <sup>b</sup> (n=2)
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDD	12.0	12.4
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDD	16.6	20.5
Total H <sub>6</sub> CDD	38.2	42.4
Total H <sub>7</sub> CDD	15.0	19.8
Total O <sub>8</sub> CDD	11.4	12.8
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDF	60.5	67.5
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDF	83.5	87.3
Total H <sub>6</sub> CDF	65.2	73.5
Total H <sub>7</sub> CDF	28.1	32.2
Total O <sub>8</sub> CDF	13.5	15.8
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE <sup>b</sup> (n=2)
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDD	0.32	0.36
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDD	1.6	2.1
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	1.2	1.4
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	2.4	2.7
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	2.4	2.3
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDD	8.2	9.6
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDF	3.7	4.3
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	4.2	4.6
2,3,4,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	5.6	6.6
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	7.8	8.7
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	7.2	8.5
2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	6.6	7.2
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	0.43	0.56
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	18.0	17.6
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	2.3	2.4
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	7.6	8.9
Toxicity equivalent (BgVV)	7.3	14.2

<sup>a</sup>Values are corrected for recovery of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled surrogates.

<sup>b</sup>Pretreatment with HCl for 30 min followed by water rinse.

<sup>c</sup>Sum of two extractions of each sample.

**Table 5 Average Values ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ) from Fly Ash<sup>a</sup>  
Comparison of Soxhlet (HCl Pretreatment) vs. ASE  
(No HCl Pretreatment; Toluene/Acetic Acid Solvent)**

Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE <sup>b</sup> (n=2)
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDD	12.0	10.5
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDD	16.6	16.2
Total H <sub>6</sub> CDD	38.2	36.7
Total H <sub>7</sub> CDD	15.0	16.0
Total O <sub>8</sub> CDD	11.4	10.6
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDF	60.5	56.1
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDF	83.5	77.4
Total H <sub>6</sub> CDF	65.2	46.1
Total H <sub>7</sub> CDF	28.1	26.5
Total O <sub>8</sub> CDF	13.5	13.9
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE <sup>b</sup> (n=2)
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDD	0.32	0.28
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDD	1.6	1.7
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	1.2	1.2
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	2.4	2.4
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	2.4	2.2
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDD	8.2	8.1
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDF	3.7	3.4
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	4.2	3.9
2,3,4,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	5.6	5.8
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	7.8	5.4
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	7.2	5.3
2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	6.6	4.5
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	0.43	0.30
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	18.0	16.8
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	2.3	2.0
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	7.6	7.0
Toxicity equivalent (BgVV)	7.3	6.3

<sup>a</sup>Values are corrected for recovery of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled surrogates.

<sup>b</sup>Pretreatment with HCl for 30 min followed by water rinse for Soxhlet samples.

<sup>c</sup>No HCl pretreatment, and toluene/acetic acid solvent used for ASE samples.

<sup>d</sup>Sum of two extractions of each sample.

**Table 6 Average Values (ng/kg) from EC-2—Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE**

Group Totals	Soxhlet Extraction (n=10)			Accelerated Solvent Extraction (n=2)		
	Value	% RSD	Isomers	Value	% RSD	Isomers
Total $T_1$ CDD	430	9.7	8	370	1.9	9
Total $P_1$ CDD	300	3.7	11	280	7.7	11
Total $H_6$ CDD	720	5.8	7	690	2.0	7
Total $H_7$ CDD	1300	7.0	2	1300	0.0	2
Total $Q_8$ CDD	4000	6.2	1	4200	0.0	1
Total $T_1$ CDF	620	12	17	380	19	19
Total $P_1$ CDF	820	9.4	14	710	7.0	17
Total $H_6$ CDF	1900	5.7	12	1900	0.0	13
Total $H_7$ CDF	3800	8.2	4	3900	3.6	4
Total $Q_8$ CDF	7800	8.3	1	7000	3.1	1
Congeners	Value	% RSD	% Recovery <sup>a</sup>	Value	% RSD	% Recovery <sup>a</sup>
2,3,7,8- $T_1$ CDD	270	9.1	68	270	0.0	72
1,2,3,7,8- $P_1$ CDD	24	12	74	22	3.3	81
1,2,3,4,7,8- $H_6$ CDD	23	8.3	76	24	3.0	80
1,2,3,6,7,8- $H_6$ CDD	83	3.6	78	87	0.8	54
1,2,3,7,8,9- $H_6$ CDD	60	6.2	77	57	7.4	67
1,2,3,4,6,7,8- $H_7$ CDD	720	6.7	81	720	1.0	79
2,3,7,8- $T_1$ CDF	100	7.3	68	82	2.6	70
1,2,3,7,8- $P_1$ CDF	39	14	74	36	3.9	76
2,3,4,7,8- $P_1$ CDF	62	5.5	79	60	0.0	75
1,2,3,4,7,8- $H_6$ CDF	740	5.3	81	690	0.0	70
1,2,3,6,7,8- $H_6$ CDF	120	6.2	81	120	0.0	50
2,3,4,6,7,8- $H_6$ CDF	45	9.0	82	60	1.2	69
1,2,3,7,8,9- $H_6$ CDF	4.9	31	84	5.3	15	70
1,2,3,4,6,7,8- $H_7$ CDF	2600	6.7	85	2500	0.0	74
1,2,3,4,7,8,9- $H_7$ CDF	160	5.5	83	160	0.0	72

Values are corrected for recovery of  $^{13}C$ -labeled surrogates.

<sup>a</sup>Refers to recovery of corresponding  $^{13}C$ -labeled surrogate.

<sup>b</sup>Maximum possible concentration due to potential chromatographic overlap.

**Table 7 Average Values (ng/kg) from HS-2—Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE**

Group Totals	Soxhlet Extraction (n=4)			Accelerated Solvent Extraction (n=2)		
	Value	% RSD	Isomers	Value	% RSD	Isomers
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDD	3.9	14	2	2.5	34	5
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDD	17	7.8	6	10	10	9
Total H <sub>6</sub> CDD	510	5.6	8	570	1.3	7
Total H <sub>7</sub> CDD	4700	8.3	2	5100	11	2
Total O <sub>8</sub> CDD	6500	4.2	1	7100	0.0	1
Total T <sub>4</sub> CDF	39	11	13	24	3.0	14
Total P <sub>5</sub> CDF	33	13	8	28	0.0	11
Total H <sub>6</sub> CDF	89	3.2	6	87	12	10
Total H <sub>7</sub> CDF	293	3.3	4	310	0.0	4
Total O <sub>8</sub> CDF	300	3.8	1	280	2.6	1
Congeners	Value	% RSD	% Recovery <sup>a</sup>	Value	% RSD	% Recovery <sup>a</sup>
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDD	n.d. (1)		62	n.d. (1)		71
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDD	1.6	4.6	69	n.d. (1)		75
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	4.5	4.8	74	5.2	11	73
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	19	4.3	75	21	0.0	50
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	24	4.3	74	28	2.6	61
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDD	1200	8.1	80	1300	0.0	93
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDF <sup>b</sup>	8.5	11	62	6.6	5.4	65
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	1.9	17	68	2.0	0.0	72
2,3,4,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	3.7	7.9	71	3.7	3.8	59
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	17	7.3	79	17	4.3	70
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	3.7	5.6	80	4.0	5.4	49
2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	3.7	18	81	4.4	3.2	61
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	n.d. (1)		83	n.d. (1)	0.0	75
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	91	1.6	83	96	3.7	82
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	5.2	6.7	84	5.3	6.7	83

n.d.=not detected. Detection limit, in ppt, given in parentheses. Values not used for statistical calculations.

Values are corrected for recovery of <sup>14</sup>C-labeled surrogates.

<sup>a</sup>Refers to recovery of corresponding <sup>14</sup>C-labeled surrogate.

<sup>b</sup>Maximum possible concentration due to potential chromatographic overlap.

**Table 8 Average Values (ng/kg) from Highly Contaminated Sources—Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE**

Group Totals	Hamilton Harbor		Parrots Bay	
	Soxhlet	ASE	Soxhlet	ASE
Total $T_4$ CDD	50 <sup>5</sup>	14 <sup>2</sup>	39 <sup>3</sup>	48 <sup>5</sup>
Total $P_5$ CDD	63 <sup>12</sup>	15 <sup>5</sup>	87 <sup>10</sup>	66 <sup>10</sup>
Total $H_6$ CDD	220 <sup>7</sup>	180 <sup>7</sup>	230 <sup>6</sup>	200 <sup>7</sup>
Total $H_7$ CDD	850 <sup>2</sup>	810 <sup>2</sup>	580 <sup>2</sup>	530 <sup>2</sup>
Total $O_8$ CDD	3100	3100	1900	1600
Total $T_4$ CDF	370 <sup>17</sup>	130 <sup>112</sup>	400 <sup>14</sup>	270 <sup>14</sup>
Total $P_5$ CDF	290 <sup>13</sup>	110 <sup>112</sup>	180 <sup>8</sup>	170 <sup>13</sup>
Total $H_6$ CDF	240 <sup>14</sup>	160 <sup>11</sup>	230 <sup>6</sup>	230 <sup>13</sup>
Total $H_7$ CDF	350 <sup>4</sup>	290 <sup>4</sup>	400 <sup>4</sup>	360 <sup>4</sup>
Total $O_8$ CDF	270	210	510	370
<b>Congeners</b>				
2,3,7,8- $T_4$ CDD	3.7	3.1	19	19
1,2,3,7,8- $P_5$ CDD	5.1	5.4	8.3	6.0
1,2,3,4,7,8- $H_6$ CDD	6.4	7.2	8.6	6.7
1,2,3,6,7,8- $H_6$ CDD	27	26	26	17
1,2,3,7,8,9- $H_6$ CDD	20	28	24	18
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H,CDD	460	430	280	250
2,3,7,8- $T_4$ CDF <sup>b</sup>	61	44 <sup>a</sup>	80	48
1,2,3,7,8- $P_5$ CDF	14	14	n.d. (20)	9.8
2,3,4,7,8- $P_5$ CDF	26	25 <sup>a</sup>	22	14
1,2,3,4,7,8- $H_6$ CDF	27	37	79	59
1,2,3,6,7,8- $H_6$ CDF	17	16	n.d. (20)	15
2,3,4,6,7,8- $H_6$ CDF	14	14	21	11
1,2,3,7,8,9- $H_6$ CDF	n.d. (2)	1.6	4.9	n.d. (1)
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H,CDF	130	130	270	220
1,2,3,4,7,8,9- $H_7$ CDF	14	13	17	12

Values are corrected for recovery of isotopically labeled surrogate standards.

n.d.=not detected. Detection limit, in ppt, given in parentheses.

Superscripts indicate the number of isomers detected.

<sup>a</sup>Recoveries outside the range 25% to 150%; results are not to be used for regulatory compliance purposes.

<sup>b</sup>Maximum possible concentration due to potential chromatographic overlap.